Appeal: 17-6143 Doc: 8 Filed: 06/22/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 17-6143	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
Plaintiff - Appellee,		
v.		
BRYAN COATS,		
Defendant - Appellant.		
Appeal from the United States Distrated Charlotte. Robert J. Conrad, J. 00452-RJC)		Vestern District of North Carolina, (3:11-cr-00309-RJC-1; 3:16-cv-
Submitted: June 20, 2017		Decided: June 22, 2017
Before SHEDD, WYNN, and DIAZ	Z, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curian	m opinion.	
Bryan Coats, Appellant Pro Se. Ar Asheville, North Carolina, for Appel	•	Assistant United States Attorney,
Unpublished opinions are not bindin	ng precedent in this	circuit.

Appeal: 17-6143 Doc: 8 Filed: 06/22/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

## PER CURIAM:

Bryan Coats seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Coats has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Coats' motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**